

No.F.12013/13/2011/RTI-UIDAI  
 Government of India  
 Planning Commission  
 Unique Identification Authority of India

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Tower-I, Jeevan Bharati Building  
 Connaught Circus, New Delhi 110001

Dated 21st July, 2011

**ORDER NO. Appeal/3/2011**

Name of the Appellant: Sh. Veeresh Malik

Address of the Appellant: D-61, Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024

Date of receipt of Application by CPIO: 15.3.2011  
 Interim Reply 18/3/11,21/4/11  
 Date of Order of the CPIO: 25.5.2011

Sub: **Order of First Appeal u/s 19 under RTI Act, 2005**

The appellant, vide his letter dated 02.06.2011 has raised the following Grounds of Appeal:

**Ground of Appeal :**

a) The reply of CPIO vide letter dated 25<sup>th</sup> of May, 2011 was found to be incomplete and casual in nature. In addition, learned CPIO has not provided information specifically as requested vide full name, address and websites of the foreign companies which are of US and non-US origin or control.

2. In the first appeal, the appellant has sought the following relief:

“That the learned CPIO was kind enough vide his response dated 25<sup>th</sup> of May 2011 to provide information, but same was found to be incomplete, and casual in nature. In addition, learned CPIO has not provided information specifically as requested vide full name, address and websites of the foreign companies which are of US and non-US origin or control. Due diligence is requested to be exercised here in response, since many companies which claim to be American are actually registered in tax havens abroad, for example:- Google. It is therefore requested that suitable care be taken when providing me with this response”.

3. After careful consideration of facts and material on records, the order of the CPIO and the facts and Grounds of Appeal, the appeal is disposed off as under:

3.1 As regards Grounds (a) to (d) are concerned there is no dispute as information required has been provided to and has been duly acknowledged by the Appellant. However, with the CPIO's reply some other information collected from our different divisions have been added in this order (appeal). The following 3 Biometric Service Providers (BSPs) to UIDAI are added herewith.

- i) Sathyam Computer Services/Sagem Morpho
- ii) L I Identity Solutions
- iii) Accenture Services

3.2 The above organizations responded to this organization's Expressions of Interest (EOI) and submitted their tenders for accepting the projects on the basis of fulfilling the following clauses:

- a) The prime respondent should have an office in India in the form of a Registered Office.
- b) If the Prime respondent does not have a registered office in India, then it should have a Branch office, Representative office, Sales office, or an office of its subsidiary company in India for the purpose of submission of the expressions of interest response.
- c) If the prime respondent is unable to meet the stated conditions, it shall submit a declaration/confirmation, stating that it shall have Registered Office in India for the purposes of signing of contracts with the UIDAI.

3.3 There are no means to verify whether the said companies/organizations are of US origin or not. As per our contractual terms & conditions, only the companies /organizations those who are registered in India can bid. Any further information in this regard can be obtained from the UIDAI public domain [www.uidai.gov.in](http://www.uidai.gov.in).

4. In view of this, the information sought for by the applicant in his RTI First Appeal stands disposed off. In case Appellant wants to appeal against the appellate authority reply, he may file 2nd appeal to Central Information Commission within 90 days.



(Davinder Kumar)  
Deputy Director General & Appellate Authority  
Tele: 011-23752755

To,

Shri Veeresh Malik  
D-61, Defence Colony  
New Delhi 110 024

Copy to: CPIO (Shri Ashish Kumar, ADG), UIDAI, New Delhi